Porcelain laminate veneers are used routinely in cosmetic dentistry. The public usually refers to this mode of treatment simply as “veneers.” Their popularity has increased because of television makeover programs, commercials, and magazine advertisements. Porcelain laminate veneers have so increased in their attractiveness that the general public is demanding this type of instant makeover. Further, dental laboratories are competing in marketing their abilities as to how thin they can make their porcelain laminate veneers. Plus, certain manufacturers and laboratories advocate prepless laminate veneer techniques.

Porcelain laminate veneers were first introduced by Charles Pincus in the 1930s and used to change the appearance of movie actors’ teeth. Unfortunately, denture adhesive was the only means of attaching the veneers to the teeth, and they came off in a short period of time. It was not until after Buonocore introduced the concept of acid-etching enamel as a means of mechanical retention that composite resin restoratives had retentiveness. By 1982, Simonsen and Calamia discovered composite resin’s ability to bond to porcelain if the ceramic was treated with hydrofluoric acid. In 1983, using a refractory model technique, Calamia described a technique for fabrication and placement of porcelain laminate veneers. Around the same time, Horn described a technique of porcelain laminate veneer fabrication using platinum foil. Since that time there have been numerous articles describing tooth preparation protocols, techniques for fabrication, methods for placement, and the materials to be used for bonding.

Initially, porcelain laminate veneers were placed with restorative composite resin. The same material used for anterior restorations was used to insert veneers because it was the only material available. A significant number of restorations would fracture at the time of placement because the composite was thick and had little flow. In pressing the veneer into place, clinicians sometimes used excessive force, resulting in cracks or complete fractures. Manufacturers recognized these problems and developed entire lines of composite resin luting materials solely for the placement of porcelain laminate veneers and posterior porcelain restorations. The various luting materials differ in color, flowability, film thickness, and volume percentage of filler material. To keep the viscosity at a lower level, both the size and amount of the filler particles are smaller than in restorative composite resins. The physical properties of these materials can lead to post-insertion situations, such as solubility, color change, and flexural strength.

This article discusses a method and the advantages of thermal conditioning a restorative composite resin or commercial luting cement that can be used for placement of porcelain laminate veneers.

Luting Cements and Composite Resin Restoratives

Composite resin restoratives and luting cements share the same component parts. Each is made up of filler particles surrounded by a resin matrix and contain a photoinitiator; the basic difference is that they vary in the amount and configuration of the filler particles (volume percentage). The difference in filler amounts accounts for varying rates of flow or viscosity. For example, the low viscosity of a flowable composite is achieved by decreasing the filler concentration, which in turn, increases the amount of resin and polymerization shrinkage. On the other hand, increasing the percentage of filler particles increases the viscosity, thereby decreasing the flow and polymerization shrinkage.

The ideal material for inserting porcelain laminate veneers would be a composite resin material with a high filler concentration for strength, low polymerization shrinkage, high modulus of elasticity, and a high flowability that allows the ceramic restoration to be easily placed. This ideal luting material would have to allow the full seating of the restoration, without the excessive pressure that can result in a fracture to the restoration. The material would have low solubility, as well as high compressive and tensile strengths. The proportional limit would be high and have excellent adhesion to porcelain as well as tooth structure.

The question is: Which available material is the closest to ideal? There are many commercial luting cements for porcelain laminate veneers. Manufacturers provide ample advertising of their commercial products. For unsuspecting clinicians, these advertisements can sway their choices in selecting products. For the more discriminating clinician, the literature is filled with countless studies on different luting cements. But a relatively small number of properly conducted clinical trials have been reported, so clinicians must formulate their decisions from various sources.

There are numerous laboratory studies available that show the differences of luting cements in regard to compressive strengths, diametral tensile strength, tooth structure adhesion, film thickness, flowability, translucency, and other physical factors of these materials. Does the improvement of these physical factors proportionally improve the clinical success of the material?
the amount of monomer conversion to a polymer network of a composite resin when photoactivated is less than 100%. In fact, it can vary from 50% to 75%.38 The problem with incomplete polymerization is the effect of unreacted monomer on the physical and mechanical properties of the composite resin. Unreacted monomers act as plasticizers, reducing the mechanical strength and increasing swelling of the composite resin.39,40 Monomer conversion is effected by the temperature, which in turn affects the composite resin properties.34-36 An elevation in temperature increases monomer mobility, which results in additional polymerization and lessened composite viscosity.34,35,41,42 Trujillo et al43 found that an increase in the temperature of composite resin could significantly influence resin polymerization. These increased conversion rates could lead to improved properties of composite restorative materials.43 Daronch et al44 found similar results in their study, which showed an increase in conversion rates that were attributed to pre-warming composites before photopolymerization. An increase in conversion rates was also found in the study by Prasanna et al.45 Using the manufacturer’s required amount of light exposure to the composite resin, they not only found an increase in the conversion rates, but also an increase in residual stress in the bulk of the material.45 This increase in residual stress can lead to reduced resistance to wear, resulting in surface cracks.

On the other hand, Daronch et al47 found the duration of light exposure could be reduced by 50% to 75% with preheated composite, which yielded the...
same or significantly higher conversion ($P = 0.01$) than with a control (22°C, 20 sec). They concluded that preheating a composite before photoactivation provided greater conversion, requiring reduced light exposure than with room-temperature composite. They also found that the reduced light exposure of heat-treated composite lowered the contraction stress more than the control.

**INCREASING THE FLOW RATE WITH TEMPERATURE ELEVATION**

In their study, Blalock et al. found that preheating composite resin did yield higher flow rates for some composite resins. They also found that there was no correlation between the composite resin classification, filler content or shape, and film thickness.

To simplify the effect of increasing the flow of the composite, the authors placed several commercially available composite resins between two glass slabs at room temperature (Figure 1). The weight of the top glass slab was a constant pressure applied to each sample. After 4 minutes, the amount of flow was noted by measuring the diameter of the sample. Together, the glass slabs were then placed into an oven at 150°F for 4 minutes (Figure 2). Then, the flow rate or the amount that the composite resin spread out was noted by measuring the diameter. A percentage increase was then calculated for each sample. The elevation in temperature did affect some composite resins more than others. The following list shows the percentage increase for several different composite resin products.

- Tetric EvoCeram® A-2 (Iovac Vi- vadent, Inc, Amherst, NY; Figure 3): 108%
- Prodigy™ B-1 (Kerr Corp, Orange, CA; Figure 4): 85%
- Venus™ B-1 (Heraeus Kulzer, Inc, Armonk, NY; Figure 5): 76%
- Point 4™ T-2 (Kerr Corp; Figure 6): 74%
- Gradia® A-2 (GC America Inc, Alsip, IL; Figure 7): 41%
- Filtek™ Supreme A-1 (3M ESPE, St. Paul, MN; Figure 8): 40%

Preheating some composite resins that demonstrate a significant increase in flow rate should allow them to be used as luting agents. The choice of the type of luting agent and its filler loading becomes more important when the marginal gap distance between the restoration and the tooth structures increases. Torii et al. found that cements with a higher filler content had an increased wear resistance and worked remarkably better in wider gaps.

One flowable composite (Clearfil Majesty™ Flow, Kuraray America, Inc, New York, NY) that has an extremely high filler content of 81% by weight was also tested and found to have an increased flow of 5 mm after heating (Figure 9).

**RAISING THE TEMPERATURE OF SILANE**

The literature cites several studies on the effectiveness of the use of silane application to ceramic surfaces creating higher bond strengths of composite resins to ceramic surfaces. Silane improves the wettability and adds to the covalent bond formation between ceramic and resin composite. It provides a bi-functional attachment to both the resin and the ceramic. The adhesive bond of composite resin to enamel is purely mechanical and is solely dependent on the quality of the etch pattern created by phosphoric acid. However, the adhesive bond of composite resin to a ceramic surface is not only generated through mechanical means (hydrophilic acid conditioning), but also by chemical means through the use of the silane coupling agent.

Several studies have shown that warm-air drying of the silane improves the adhesive–ceramic bond. After the silane is applied to the ceramic surface, heat treatment causes a condensing effect of the coupling silane molecules on the ceramic surface. The heat treatment can be accomplished through several methods. Magne described placing the silanated ceramic restoration in a dry furnace at 100°C for 1 minute or using the warm air from a hair dryer for 2 minutes. Improved microtensile bond strengths have been reported using a drying temperature less than 100°C (212°F) but greater than room temperature. High-temperature air-drying (50°C to 100°C) has been proven to increase ceramic–composite bond strength in luting ceramic restorations. Silane solutions contain water and solvents that, when present, decrease the condensation polymerization reaction that chemically bonds the silane to the ceramic. Evaporation of water and solvents contributes to the bond strength of the composite resin to the ceramic surface. Water, alcohol, acetone, acetic acid, and other by-products, if not completely removed by air-drying, may remain hydrogen-bonded to the hydroxyl-rich silica fillers of the composite substrate and decrease the number of bond sites available for reacting with silane, thus compromising the final degree of siloxane bond formation. Therefore, the heat treatment of silane accomplishes several things: It drives the silane/silica surface condensation reaction toward completion; it promotes silane/silica formation; and it advances the evaporation of water and solvents.

**Technique Sequence**

After the provisional restorations are removed, clean the prepared teeth carefully, using 50-µm aluminum oxide with light pressure. Wet the veneers with water and try them in for verification of fit and patient approval. After the try-in, clean the bonding surfaces of the restorations with phosphoric acid for 5 seconds to remove salivary proteins that can interfere with the ceramic etching with hydrophilic acid (Figure 10). Rinse the restorations with water and dry them. Then, etch the internal surfaces with a 10% hydrophilic acid for the appropriate conditioning time. Each type of porcelain has a specific etching time, which should be recognized and followed by the clinician to prevent improper conditioning. After the acid is rinsed off, place the restorations into a distilled water ultrasonic bath for 4 minutes. This bath will remove the ceramic residue of sodium, potassium, aluminum, and remineralized salts (Figure 11). Then, dry the porcelain veneers, and apply two coats of silane to the bonding surface. Next, place the veneers in the preheated (155°F) veneer warming tray (Figure 12 and Figure 13), then cover and warm for 2 minutes (CalSet™ veneer warming tray, AdDent Inc, Danbury, CT).

After the silane heat treatment is completed, apply a filled adhesive resin (Opti-Bond® FL, Kerr Corp). The elevated temperature of the ceramic surface will increase the flow of the adhesive resin into microporosities and any surface flaws present. The surface flaws on a ceramic surface may increase the potential of crack propagation. Unseen microscopic flaws can develop at the laboratory, during the try-in phase, or during conditioning steps. Magne has presented a case scenario where prebonding cracks existed in a porcelain veneer and remained invisible after 5 years. He attributed the phenomenon to the efficient sealing of the flaw by the adhesive resin. Only the heated ceramic condition could have increased the flowability of the adhesive resin and aided in the ceramic flaw repair.

After the adhesive resin application (Figure 14), apply a composite resin to the bonding surface of the porcelain veneers (Figure 15). Then, arrange the “loaded” veneers in the wells in the order of placement, cover them, and allow them to reach the desired insertion temperature.

**CONDITIONING THE TEETH AND INSERTING THE RESTORATIONS**

Isolate the prepared teeth, etch them with phosphoric acid, rinse them with water, and then suction them dry (no air-water syringe used). Using a three-step bonding system (OptiBond FL), apply the primer to the teeth and suction them dry. Then, apply the adhesive resin. Thinning the resin with the air-water syringe is not necessary.

Starting with the central incisors, pick up each veneer with a college pliers instrument (Figure 16), placing each veneer on the appropriate tooth. Because the composite luting material is warm (increasing both the conversion rate and flow of the resin), light finger and thumb pressure is all that is necessary to place the restoration in the correct position. Even though there is a reduction in temperature after restoration placement and before photoactivation, benefits still may
be seen in comparison with room-temperature composites.\textsuperscript{29,45} Moving in a distal direction, insert the remaining veneers. A cotton roll can be used to wipe away the gross excess before starting light polymerization. Beginning with the central incisors, place the index fingers on the incisal edges while placing the thumbs on the labial surfaces (Figure 17). Exert light pressure to expel the remaining excess composite luting material, and fully seat the restorations. The dental assistant can position the light source between the clinician’s thumbs and activate the light for 5 seconds. The hands of the clinician shield the other veneers from any light exposure. Next, the clinician moves to the lateral incisors and repeats the same procedure. Carry out this procedure until all the restorations are secured in place. Using two light sources, complete the light polymerization of each restoration. Then, clean the margins of excess composite material using hand instruments such as scalers and 12-b surgical blades.

CONCLUSION

Elevating the temperature of composite resin has been shown to improve the flow characteristics of composite resin, increase the degree of monomer-to-polymer conversion, and reduce the amount of polymerization contraction stress by reducing the light exposure time. All of these benefits have been made available through the use of a unit for warming composite resin material. A veneer warming tray has been manufactured that can be used in conjunction with this unit to warm pre-loaded porcelain veneer restorations using tested composite resins. The same effects that are seen for composite restorative materials now can be achieved for composite resins used to bond porcelain laminate veneers.
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